

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA  
CIVIL DIVISION

WILLIAM A. CABANA, *pro se*,

v.

Case No.: 06-CA-5063-SC

JAMES ZINGALE, EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR, FLORIDA  
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE  
(In his official capacity)

KAREN E. RUSHING  
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT  
SARASOTA COUNTY, FL

2006 NOV 13 PM 2:28

FILED FOR RECORD  
VENICE BRANCH

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S  
NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

William A. Cabana, plaintiff *pro se* with the assistance of counsel, responds to Defendant's Notice of Supplemental Authority and requests the court to consider the case law offered by the Defendant as inapposite. In support he offers,

1. Art. I § 23 Fla. Const., Right of Privacy, has two contexts---autonomous decision making and personal information disclosure.
2. This lawsuit deals with the autonomous personal decision making of the Plaintiff in the judicially recognized privacy protected zone of "personal decisions relating to marriage" to alter his associational interest and marital status by dissolving his marriage.
3. The cases cited by the Defendant (*In Re Commitment of Duane Edwin Sutton*, 884 So. 2d 198 (Fla. 2c DCA 2004); *Barron v. Florida Freedom Newspapers*, 530 So. 2d 113, (Fla. 1988)) relate to the disclosure of personal information not to the personal autonomous decision making related to the Plaintiff's personal decision to dissolve his marriage. This lawsuit is about the autonomous right of privacy of the Plaintiff to dissolve his marriage without any undue burden



**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
IN AND FOR SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA  
CIVIL DIVISION**

**WILLIAM A. CABANA, *pro se*,**

**v.**

**Case No.: 06-CA-5063-SC**

**JAMES ZINGALE, EXECUTIVE  
DIRECTOR, FLORIDA  
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE  
(In his official capacity)**

---

**PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S  
NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

William A. Cabana, plaintiff *pro se* with the assistance of counsel, responds to Defendant's Notice of Supplemental Authority and requests the court to consider the case law offered by the Defendant as inapposite. In support he offers,

1. Art. I § 23 Fla. Const., Right of Privacy, has two contexts---autonomous decision making and personal information disclosure.
2. This lawsuit deals with the autonomous personal decision making of the Plaintiff in the judicially recognized privacy protected zone of "personal decisions relating to marriage" to alter his associational interest and marital status by dissolving his marriage.
3. The cases cited by the Defendant (*In Re Commitment of Duane Edwin Sutton*, 884 So. 2d 198 (Fla. 2c DCA 2004); *Barron v. Florida Freedom Newspapers*, 530 So. 2d 113, (Fla. 1988)) relate to the disclosure of personal information not to the personal autonomous decision making related to the Plaintiff's personal decision to dissolve his marriage. This lawsuit is about the autonomous right of privacy of the Plaintiff to dissolve his marriage without any undue burden

